



**Kathryn Hernandez**  
**<EPAKathrynHernandez**  
**@tmo.blackberry.net>**

03/30/2007 03:40 PM

Please respond to  
EPAKathrynHernandez@tm  
o.blackberry.net

To Peggy Livingston/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

cc "Mark (ENRD) Elmer" <MElmer@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>,  
Maureen OReilly/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

bcc

Subject Re: Statement of Work for Richardson Flat

History: This message has been replied to.

I don't think we should change the monitoring deadlines, their only concern in the SOW was having to sample all the surface water at the site. It did not seem that they had any problem with sampling at the diversion ditch and above and below the site in Silver Creek. I believe our requirement was 2 years of monitoring. The email above implies to me that a single sampling is adequate which will not account for variability over the year and over time as the remedy is completed.

Sent from my BlackBerry wireless handheld.

-----Original Message-----

From: Livingston.Peggy@epamail.epa.gov

Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2007 15:20:24

To: KMurray@chapman.com

Subject: Statement of Work for Richardson Flat

Kevin: Kathy spoke with Kerry, and it sounds as if Kerry's concern is over the monitoring requirements in the SOW. How about changing sec. 2.4, in the second par., second sentence, which now requires surface water quality monitoring "until it is demonstrated that all water quality standards have been achieved at all surface water sampling sites at Richardson Flat that may impact Silver Creek. . . ." to requiring surface water monitoring "until it is demonstrated that all water quality standards have been achieved at the mouth of the diversion ditch?" Would this be acceptable to UPCM?

Peggy Livingston  
Enforcement Attorney  
1595 Wynkoop Street  
Denver, CO 80202-1129  
303-312-6858 (phone)  
303-312-7202 (fax)

Please note new street address and fax number.